

United States Patent and Trademark Office

ENITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Enited States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virgnia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/671,438	09/26/2003	Tetsuya Yamamura	0305369	5065	
7590 04/05/2005			EXAM	EXAMINER	
Intellectual Property Department Mayer Brown Rowe & Maw LLP			MCCLENDO	MCCLENDON, SANZA L	
1909 K Street NW			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Washington, D	C 20006-1101	,	1711		

DATE MAILED: 04/05/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<i>U</i>))				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/671,438	YAMAMURA ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Sanza L McClendon	1711					
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re reply within the statutory minimum of thirty iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT state, cause the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26	September 2003.						
<u> </u>	his action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	wance except for formal matte	rs, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex par</i> te <i>Quayl</i> e, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13 and 15-65</u> is/are pending in the	ne application.	·					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without	drawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13,15-20,26-53 and 59-65</u> is/are	☐ Claim(s) <u>1-13,15-20,26-53 and 59-65</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) 21-25 and 54-58 is/are objected to).						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a		y the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to t							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr	rection is required if the drawing(s	i) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bure	ents have been received. ents have been received in Apriority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No. <u>08/898,407</u> . received in this National Stage					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a l	list of the certified copies not r	eceived.					
Attachment(s)		,					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		immary (PTO-413)					
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/2004. 		/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152) -					

7

Application/Control Number: 10/671,438

Art Unit: 1711

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1, 3-8, 10-11, 13, 27, 30, 33, 36, 39, 42, 45 and 48 are rejected under 35
 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chikaoka et al (WO 96/35756) and US 6,103,025.
 Note: US 6,130,025 is being used as the English language equivalent for WO 96/35756.

Chikaoka et al teaches stereolithography resin compositions comprising a cationic polymerizable compound, a cationic photoinitiator, and a thermoplastic polymer. Said cationic polymerizable compounds can be epoxy compounds selected from aromatic, alicyclic, and aliphatic epoxy resins, wherein glycidyl esters of fatty acids and epoxidized linseed and soybean oil are disclosed as useable aliphatic epoxide compounds. The epoxy compounds are taught as useable in combinations. In addition, the epoxide compounds taught appear to read on the instantly claimed molecular weights. Chikaoka et al teaches at least 50 wt% of the cationic polymerizable compound is composed of epoxy compounds having two or more epoxy groups per molecule. The cationic initiator may be an onium salt. Chikaoka et al teaches additional components can be added to the composition, such as radically polymerizable compounds and hydroxyl functional compounds—see columns 8 and 9, wherein acrylate, (meth) acrylate, and polyol compounds are disclosed. Per column 8 and

Art Unit: 1711

the examples dipentaerythritol hexa-functional (meth) acrylates are disclosed. Said resin compositions are useable in stereolithography applications. Said process comprises mixing the compositional components to form a liquid state, taking a given portion of the resin composition exposing it to radiation cure to form a cured layer of resin composition, apply another layer of resin composition onto the cured layer and exposing to radiation cure, and repeating the process until the desired object has been formed—see column 11, lines 42-57.

While Chikaoka et al does not explicitly expressly teach adding oxetane compounds to the composition, Chikaoka et al does teach that remaining 50% of the cationically curable organic compound can be chosen from epoxy compounds having one epoxy group per molecule or can be chosen from other cationically polymerizable compounds, such as oxetane compounds. Therefore the examiner deems that it would have been obvious for an artisan of ordinary skill in the art to choose an oxetane compound as a component in the composition. The motivation would have been a reasonable expectation of obtaining a faster cure speed in addition to adding flexibility to the obtained object in the absence of argument to the contrary and/or unexpected results. It is deemed that the resin compositions as described by Chikaoka et al render the instant composition obvious and known in the prior art and as such it is deemed the properties (Young's Modulus, dimensional accuracy, and etc among others) as found in the claims are inherent to the compositions as described.

3. Claims 1-13 and 15-20, 26-53, 59-65 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chikaoka et al (WO 96/35756) in view of Igarashi et al (5.674,922) as evidenced by Ohkawa et al (5,434,196).

Chikaoka et al teaches stereolithography resin compositions comprising a cationic polymerizable compound, a cationic photoinitiator, and a thermoplastic polymer. Said cationic polymerizable compounds can be epoxy compounds selected from aromatic, alicyclic, and aliphatic epoxy resins, wherein glycidyl esters of fatty acids and epoxidized linseed and soybean oil are disclosed as useable aliphatic epoxide compounds. The epoxy compounds are taught as useable in combinations. In addition, the epoxide compounds taught appear to read on the instantly claimed molecular weights. Chikaoka et al teaches

Application/Control Number: 10/671,438

Art Unit: 1711

at least 50 wt% of the cationic polymerizable compound is composed of epoxy compounds having two or more epoxy groups per molecule. The cationic initiator may be an onium salt. Chikaoka et al teaches additional components can be added to the composition, such as radically polymerizable compounds and hydroxyl functional compounds—see columns 8 and 9, wherein acrylate, (meth) acrylate, and polyol compounds are disclosed. Per column 8 and the examples dipentaerythritol hexa-functional (meth) acrylates are disclosed. Said resin compositions are useable in stereolithography applications. Said process comprises mixing the compositional components to form a liquid state, taking a given portion of the resin composition exposing it to radiation cure to form a cured layer of resin composition, apply another layer of resin composition onto the cured layer and exposing to radiation cure, and repeating the process until the desired object has been formed—see column 11, lines 42-57.

Chikaoka et al does not expressly teach using an oxetane compound having the formulas as found in claims 15·26, 51 and 65 or adding 3·50·wt% of a further epoxy compound. Igarashi et al teaches cationic polymerizable compositions comprising hydroxyl-containing oxetane and epoxy compound in combination with onium salt initiators. Igarashi et al teaches oxetanes having the general formula (1), which reads on the oxetane compounds of claims 1, 8, 15·20, 26, 51·53, and 65. Igarashi et al teaches the oxetane of general formula 1 in combination with alicyclic epoxides provides a composition having rapid curability, as well as, excellent inner curability. Chikaoka et al and Igarashi et al are analogous art because they are from the same field of endeavor that is the art of cationically curable epoxy/oxetane compositions. Therefore it would have been obvious for an artisan of ordinary skill level in the art to use the oxetanes and a further alicyclic epoxide as described by Igarashi et al in the compositions as taught by Chikaoka et al. The motivation would have been a reasonable expectation of obtaining a resin composition having rapid curability, as well as, excellent inner curability in the absence of evidence to the contrary and/or unexpected results.

The resin compositions as described by the combination of Chikaoka et al and Igarashi et al read on the instant claims because from the teachings an artisan of ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to chose an aliphatic epoxy as described in claim 1 because aliphatic epoxides are known to provide excellent optical properties as evidenced by Ohkawa et al column 4, lines 6-8, an oxetane compound of general formula 1 and a

Page 5

further epoxy, such as an alicyclic epoxy compound with a cationic initiator to obtain a photo-fabricated object. The motivation being a reasonable expectation of obtaining a photofabricated object having excellent curability, as well as, excellent inner curability and excellent optical properties as taught by both Chikaoka et al and Igarashi et al. The instant combination of references is deemed to read on the instant invention and as such it is deemed the properties (Young's Modulus, dimensional accuracy, and etc) as found in the claims are inherent to the compositions as described.

Priority

4. Applicant cannot rely upon the foreign priority papers to overcome this rejection because a translation of said papers has not been made of record in accordance with 37 CFR 1.55. See MPEP § 201.15.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claims 21-25 and 54-58 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 6. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the prior art fails to teach compositions as found in claims 1 and 51 where the oxetane compound comprises two or more oxetane groups per molecule.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. US 5,434,196 and 5,525,645 to Ohkawa et al teaches optical moldings from cationic polymerizable compositions, which may be combinations of epoxides with other cationic compounds, such as oxetanes. 6,127,085 to Yamaura et al teaches photo-fabrication objects from cationic polymerizable compositions that may comprise

2/10/04

Art Unit: 1711

oxetanes. US 5,985,510 to Akutsu et al teaches photo-fabrication objects from cationic polymerizable compositions that may comprise oxetanes.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sanza L McClendon whose telephone number is (571) 272-1074. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 7:30-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Seidleck can be reached on (571) 272-1078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Sanza L McClendon

Examiner

Art Unit 1711

SMc